UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,749	05/02/2007	Marcel Boosten	NL040119US1	5778
24737 7590 12/05/2011 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3001		PENG, BO JOSEPH		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/05/2011	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

vera.kublanov@philips.com debbie.henn@philips.com marianne.fox@philips.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
0"" 1 " 0	10/597,749	BOOSTEN, MARCEL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	BO J. PENG	3768					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Se	entember 2010.						
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
<i>i</i> =	/ <del>-</del>						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 18-35 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-35</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 August 2006</u> is/are:		to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· · ·						
application from the International Bureau	•	3					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, , , ,	d.					
•							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Drafts, erson's Patent Drawin, Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5)	atent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	од <u>Сиге</u> г						

Application/Control Number: 10/597,749 Page 2

Art Unit: 3768

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to the Amendments/Arguments filed on 09/24/2010.

Claims 18 and 27 have been amended. Claims 18-35 are now pending.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 09/24/2010 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,749

Art Unit: 3768

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Page 3

4. Claims 18-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strommer et al. (Pub. No. 2005/0033149, hereinafter Strommer '149) in view of Shaknovich (Pat. No. 5,807,398, hereinafter Shaknovich '398), Hofland et al. (Pat. No. 5,800,354, hereinafter Hofland '354), and Kundu et al. (Knowledge-based ECG interpretation: a critical review, 2000, Pattern Recognition, 33, 351-373, hereinafter Kundu '2000).

In re claims 18 and 27, Strommer '149 discloses a system and method for controlling an interventional procedure in an organ of a patient (see abstract) comprising: (i)an intervention device comprising detectable markers positioned within the target organ, (ii) a displaceable catheter for performing an intervention of the interventional procedure, and (iii) a stereotactic navigation system to position the detectable markers and displaceable catheter within the target organ (see para 83); an imaging unit arranged to acquire images of the target organ along with the detectable markers and the displaceable catheter (see paras 96-102); a computing unit configured to carry out the steps calculating a motion-corrected organ-oriented three-dimensional coordinate system based on the images (see paras 96-102); generating a spatial roadmap representing an envisaged trajectory of the displaceable catheter within the coordinate system by interrelating the spatial positions of the detectable markers with interactive user input to alter or redraw the roadmap (see paras 96-102), monitoring the

Art Unit: 3768

spatial position of the displaceable catheter; determining a discrepancy between the spatial position of the displaceable catheter and the roadmap and calculating a navigational correction (see paras 43 and 96-102); and controlling the navigation system to apply the navigational correction to the position of the displaceable catheter (see paras 96-102); and a user interface arranged to display images of the target organ, the spatial position of the detectable markers, the displaceable catheter, and the roadmap (see paras 96-102); and a control screen displaying the correction to be applied to the navigation system and accepting interactive user input for the correction (see paras 96-102).

Strommer '149 fails to teach a plurality of detectable markers per catheter, the plurality of detectable markers being positioned in a substantially evenly distributed manner within the target organ to enable a visualization of a corresponding catheter.

Shaknovich '398 teaches a plurality of detectable markers per catheter, the plurality of detectable markers being positioned in a substantially evenly distributed manner within the target organ to enable a visualization of a corresponding catheter.

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of invention to modify the method/device of Strommer '149 to include the multiple of detectable markers of Shaknovich '398 in order to increase the number of markers for better motion and position detection.

The combine device of Strommer '149 and Shaknovich '398 fails to teach using supplementary information, wherein the supplementary information for generating the spatial roadmap includes measured temporal electrical activity of the organ and related

time moments of the measured temporal electrical activity of different points of a measurement of temporal electrical activity, wherein a pattern of contraction of the organ is derived an irregularities in a conductivity of electrical signals are identified, further wherein the derived pattern of organ contraction and the identified irregularities are used as the supplementary information for generating the spatial roadmap.

Hofland '354 teaches using supplementary information, wherein the supplementary information for generating the spatial roadmap includes measured temporal activity of the organ and related time moments of the measured temporal activity of different points of a measurement of temporal activity, wherein a pattern of contraction of the organ is derived an irregularities are identified, further wherein the derived pattern of organ contraction and the identified irregularities are used as the supplementary information for generating the spatial roadmap (col. 9, lines 8-22, fig. 7).

Hofland '354 fails to explicitly teach measure temporal electrical activity of the organ and irregularities/abnormality in a conductivity of electrical signals from ECG signal even though it would be inherent that ECG measures temporal electrical activity of the heart and irregularities/abnormality in a conductivity of electrical signals.

Kundu '2000 further exemplifies an ECG system that measures temporal electrical activity of the heart and irregularities/abnormality in a conductivity of electrical signals (whole documents, figs. 1 & 2 & 7, page 360, section Diagnosis of ECG patterns).

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of invention to conclude that the combine knowledge of Hofland '354 and Kundu

Art Unit: 3768

'2000 teaches using supplementary information, wherein the supplementary information for generating the spatial roadmap includes measured temporal electrical activity of the organ and related time moments of the measured temporal electrical activity of different points of a measurement of temporal electrical activity, wherein a pattern of contraction of the organ is derived an irregularities in a conductivity of electrical signals are identified, further wherein the derived pattern of organ contraction and the identified irregularities are used as the supplementary information for generating the spatial roadmap.

Therefore, it would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time of invention to modify the method/device of Strommer '149 to include the multiple of detectable markers of Shaknovich '398 in order to increase the number of markers for better motion and position detection and to include the supplementary ECG information obtained from the combined device of Hofland '354 and Kundu '2000 to ensure more precise motion correction with respect to different organ physiological conditions.

In re claims 19-26 and 28-35, Strommer discloses the computing unit configured to carry out the steps: monitoring the spatial position of the detectable markers; determining a displacement of a detectable marker; recalculating the roadmap based on the displacement; and sending a signal to the navigation system to automatically position the displaceable catheter (see paras 96-102), further comprising an imaging unit arranged to acquire high resolution images (see para 71), further comprising the imaging unit employing an X- ray beam or magnetic resonance acquisition (see para

Art Unit: 3768

71), further comprising an imaging unit arranged to acquire images by rotational scan of an X-ray source around the target organ (see para 71), the intervention device further comprising a catheter adapted to measure cardiac action potentials within the target organ (see paras 84-87), wherein the roadmap is arranged to represent a burning path for an ablating catheter (see para 56), sending a signal to warn the operator of a change in configuration of the detectable markers (see paras 96-102), further comprising the user interface arranged to display actual electrical activity of tissue of the target organ (see paras 84-87).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BO J. PENG whose telephone number is (571)270-1792. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday: 8:30am-5:00pm, Alternate Fridays, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,749 Page 8

Art Unit: 3768

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BO J. PENG / Examiner, Art Unit 3768

/LONG V. LE/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3768